

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/642,920 08/18/2003 Jose Represas De Almeida 65072-0145 3872 EXAMINER 44200 7590 02/08/2005 HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND COHN LLP LE, HOA T 32270 TELEGRAPH RD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 225 BINGHAM FARMS, MI 48025-2457 1773

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/642,920	DE ALMEIDA ET AL.	•
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	H. T. Le	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from because the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this commodities  (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	lovember 2004.		
	s action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	l <b>.</b>		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-18</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)	<b>∧</b> □ 1-1	(DTO 442)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/642,920 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v Eagle Mfg. Ca, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,635,344. This is a double patenting rejection. Claim 12 contains contain all limitations of the reference claim 1; the only difference is the preamble "an article of manufacture". However, that phrase is an empty phrase as it does not add any limitation or alter the meaning of a product claim because any synthetic product (in this case "absorbent structure") is an article of manufacture.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/642,920 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 5, 6, 11, 15 and 16 of U. S. Patent No. 6,635,344 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: an absorbent material comprising a carrier containing woody ring and chaff ring with a moisture below 10%. The preamble "an article of manufacture" is an empty phrase as it does not add any limitation or alter the meaning of a product claim because any synthetic product (in this case "absorbent structure") is an article of manufacture. With regard to claim 2, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that an absorbent material is suitable as a component in all products where absorbing properties are required. Therefore, it would have been obvious to make napkins, diapers, toilet tissues, etc, by utilizing the absorbent material taught by the Patent 6,635,344.

5. Applicant's amendment filed November 19, 2004 adding the limitation of moisture content of the carrier has overcome the art rejection applied against claims 1-9. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of the double patenting rejection set forth above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/642,920 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

6. Claims 10-18 are allowed in view of the amendment filed November 19, 2004 that adds the limitation of the moisture content of the carrier. In order to keep the moisture level of the carrier under 10% as claimed, the woody ring as well as chaff ring of a corncob must be heat-treated as disclosed in the instant specification. The Peiffer reference is silent as to the moisture content of the carrier and does not teach or suggest any heat treatment for the carrier.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773